

AF
JW



Docket No. 50277-1545 (OID 2000-078-01)

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Confirmation No.: 2375

JOHN LIGHTFOOT, et al.

Group Art Unit No.: 2161

Serial No.: 09/728,909

Examiner: Patrick J.D. Santos

Filed on: December 1, 2000

For: HIERARCHY-BASED SECURED DOCUMENT REPOSITORY

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.116

Sir:

This is in response to the Final Office Action mailed November 18, 2004, the shortened statutory period for which runs until February 18, 2005.

No claims have been amended, added, or cancelled. Hence, Claims 1-24 are pending in the application.

TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW SUMMARY

The Examiner is thanked for the telephonic interview of January 21, 2005.

Applicants' Attorneys Christian Nicholes and Brian Hickman, and Examiners Patrick Santos and Safet Metjahic, participated in the interview.

In the interview, the Applicants' Attorneys explained that even the combination of the cited references, U.S. Patent Number 5,878,415 by Olds ("Olds") and "Database


Systems: Principles, Design, & Implementation” by Ricardo (“Ricardo”), would not produce the method of Claim 1. The Applicants’ Attorneys explained that, even if it were possible to combine the cited references, the combination would appear to result in a hierarchy of the kind shown in Ricardo’s Figure 10.3, except with the inheritable access control lists of Olds associated with the “organizational” records/nodes of Ricardo. The Applicants’ Attorneys explained that such a result still lacked any documents associated with the “organizational” records/nodes, and that there did not appear to be any reason to associate access control lists with “organizational” records/nodes anyway, because organizational entities are not the kinds of things to which access typically is or needs to be controlled.

Examiner Santos said that a valid rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103 would require an additional reference that has not been made of record. Examiner Santos agreed to re-open prosecution of this matter, perform an additional search, and determine the patentability of Claim 1 based on the results of the additional search.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is believed that such contact would further the examination of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP



Christian A. Nicholes

Reg. No. 50,266


2055 Gateway Pl Ste 550
San Jose, CA 95110
(408) 414-1080, ext. 224
Date: February 1, 2005
Facsimile: (408) 414-1076


CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

on February 1, 2005

by





NO FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2005		Complete if Known	
<i>Patent fees are subject to annual revision, Small Entity payments must be supported by a small entity statement, otherwise large entity fees must be paid. See Forms PTO/SB/09-12. See 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.27 AND 1.28</i>		Application Number	09/728,909
		Filing Date	December 1, 2000
		First Named Inventor	John LIGHTFOOT
		Examiner Name	Patrick J.D. Santos
		Group/Art Unit	2161
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	(\$) 0.00	Attorney Docket No.	50277-1545
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)		FEE CALCULATION (continued)	
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Throughout the pendency of this application, please charge any additional fees, including any required extension of time fees, and credit all overpayments to deposit account 50-1302. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.		3. ADDITIONAL FEES	
Deposit Account Number: 50-1302		Large Entity Fee Code Fee (\$)	
Deposit Account Name: Hickman Palermo Truong & Becker, LLP		Small Entity Fee Code Fee (\$)	
2. <input type="checkbox"/> Payment Enclosed: <input type="checkbox"/> Check <input type="checkbox"/> Money Order <input type="checkbox"/> Other		Fee Description	
3. <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant(s) is entitled to small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.		Fee Paid	
FEE CALCULATION			
1. BASIC FILING FEE			
Large Entity Fee Code Fee (\$)			
Small Entity Fee Code Fee (\$)			
Fee Description			
Fee Paid			
1011 300 2011 150 Utility filing fee			
1111 500 2111 250 Utility Search fee			
1311 200 2311 100 Utility Examination fee			
1081 250 2081 125 Utility Application Size Fee			
1005 200 2005 100 Provisional Application Fee			
1085 250 20835 125 Provisional Application Size Fee			
SUBTOTAL (1)		(\$) 0.00	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES			
Highest Paid Claims Extra Claims Fee from Below Fee Paid			
Total Claims 24 -24= 0 X 50.00 = 0.00			
Independent Claims 2 -2= 0 X 200.00 = 0.00			
Multiple Dependent			
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see below			
Large Entity Fee Code Fee (\$)			
Small Entity Fee Code Fee (\$)			
Fee Description			
1202 50 2202 25 Claims in excess of 20			
1201 200 2201 100 Independent claims in excess of 3			
1203 360 2203 180 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid			
1204 200 2204 100 **Reissue independent claims over original patent			
1205 50 2205 25 **Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent			
SUBTOTAL (2)		(\$) 0.00	
SUBMITTED BY		SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 0.00	
Name (Print/Type) Christian A. Nicholes		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 50,266	
Signature <i>Christian A. Nicholes</i>		Telephone (408) 414-1080	
		Date February 1, 2005	

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.